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Abstract

The exocrine pancreas can undergo acinar-to-ductal metaplasia (ADM), as in the case of pancreatitis where precursor lesions of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) can arise. The NAD⁺-dependent protein deacetylase Sirtuin-1 (Sirt1) has been implicated in carcinogenesis with dual roles depending on its subcellular localization. In this study, we examined the expression and the role of Sirt1 in different stages of pancreatic carcinogenesis, i.e. ADM models and established PDAC. In addition, we analyzed the expression of KIAA1967, a key mediator of Sirt1 function, along with potential Sirt1 downstream targets. Sirt1 was co-expressed with KIAA1967 in the nuclei of normal pancreatic acinar cells. In ADM, Sirt1 underwent a transient nuclear-to-cytoplasmic shuttling. Experiments where during ADM, we enforced repression of Sirt1 shuttling, inhibition of Sirt1 activity or modulation of its expression, all underscore that the temporary decrease of nuclear and increase of cytoplasmic Sirt1 stimulate ADM. Our results further underscore that important transcriptional regulators of acinar differentiation, that is, Pancreatic transcription factor-1α and β-catenin can be deacetylated by Sirt1. Inhibition of Sirt1 is effective in suppression of ADM and in reducing cell viability in established PDAC tumors. KIAA1967 expression is differentially downregulated in PDAC and impacts on the sensitivity of PDAC cells to the Sirt1/2 inhibitor Tenovin-6. In PDAC, acetylation of β-catenin is not affected, unlike p53, a well-characterized Sirt1-regulated protein in tumor cells. Our results reveal that Sirt1 is an important regulator and potential therapeutic target in pancreatic carcinogenesis. Cancer Res; 73(7): 2357–67. ©2012 AACR.

Introduction

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer death in the United States, an outcome that has not changed for 50 years (1). Understanding the molecular mechanisms of PDAC initiation and tumor maintenance is imperative to develop chemoprevention and therapeutic strategies. New insights in PDAC initiation show that adult exocrine acinar cells under stress can dedifferentiate and gain metaplastic ductal characteristics (referred to as acinar-to-ductal metaplasia, ADM). There is compelling evidence from mouse models that ADM is a precursor lesion of PDAC (2, 3). ADM also occurs in pancreatitis, which may explain why pancreatitis is a major risk factor for PDAC (2, 3). Prevention of ADM and maintenance of acinar cell differentiation could suppress pancreatic carcinogenesis. Previously, we observed that nicotinamide repressed acinar cell dedifferentiation and ADM in culture (4). Nicotinamide is an end product and feedback inhibitor of Sirtuin-mediated protein deacetylation (5).

The repertoire of Sirtuin functions is broader than the role in longevity for which they were originally identified (6) and Sirtuins target a range of nuclear, mitochondrial, and cytoplasmic proteins. Sirtuins have multifaceted roles in cell death, differentiation, metabolism, and senescence. Sirtuin-1 (Sirt1), the best studied of the family, also plays roles in cancer and has been reported to be an oncogene as well as a tumor suppressor (7). Related to pancreas, Sirt1 has been studied in islets and diabetes (8, 9) (10), but only limited evidence exists that Sirt1 is important in PDAC (11).

One of the best-characterized regulators of Sirt1 is deleted in breast cancer 1 (Dbc1, KIAA1967; ref. 12). Dbc1 directly interacts with Sirt1 and inhibits Sirt1 activity (12, 13). Changes in either Dbc1 or Sirt1 expression result in altered Sirt1-driven effects.
Because there was preliminary evidence that a Sirtuin inhibitor impairs ADM and Sirt1 is currently seen as a promising target of therapeutic intervention in other cancers (14), we aimed to study the expression of Sirt1 and Dbc1 in normal exocrine pancreas and during ADM to define the context-specific target genes of Sirt1 and to reveal Sirt1 effects in PDAC.

Materials and Methods

Cell cultures

Primary acinar cell culture protocols were adapted from previous works (15, 16). The cell lines 266-6 and AR42J-B13, the PDAC cell lines, and HEK293 cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) Cell Biology Collection and used within 6 months between resuscitation and experimentation. The ATCC authentication protocols include testing for mycoplasma, bacteria, fungi contamination, confirmation of species identity, and detection of cellular contamination or misidentification using COI for interspecies identification and DNA profiling as well as cytogenetic analysis, flow cytometry, and immunocytochemistry with consistent refinement of cell growth conditions as well as documentation systems, ensuring traceability. Cells were cultured with leptomycin B (LMB; 0.25 μg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich), nicotinamide (20–40 mmol/L, Sigma), resveratrol (50 mmol/L, Sigma), and Tenovin-6 (Cayman Chemical).

Animals and in vivo experimentation

Pancreatitis and pancreatic duct ligation were conducted as described previously (17, 18) and approved by the ethics committee of the Vrije Universiteit Brussel (Brussels, Belgium) and the Garvan Animal Ethical Committee and were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki as revised in 2000.

Statistics

Results are presented as mean ± SEM. Data were analyzed by Prism 5.0 (Student t test or one-sample t test). Unless stated otherwise, the experiments were carried out at least 3 times independently. P values are indicated.

Results

Sirt1 and Dbc1 expression in normal exocrine tissue and ADM

We assessed the expression of Sirt1 and Dbc1 in normal pancreas and mouse models of ADM. Staining of Sirt1 in cells with the digestive enzyme amylase or with insulin illustrates that Sirt1 is expressed in the exocrine and endocrine pancreas, with only the latter reported previously (Fig. 1A; refs. 8, 9). Sirt1 and Dbc1 were co-expressed in the nuclei of exocrine pancreas (Fig. 1A). Expression was undetectable in about 30% of acinar cells (Supplementary Fig S1A).

In caerulein-induced acute pancreatitis (Cae-AP), acini undergo ADM and regenerate within 1 week (18). The ADM in our in vivo models corresponds to what has been described by Strobel and colleagues (19), that is, tubular complexes and mucusin metaplastic lesions (MML) and occurs isolated in the absence of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN; ref. 20). The transient ADM is characterized by cytoplasmic retention of acinar enzymes, such as carboxypeptidase A1 (Cpa1; Fig. 1B), increased expression and cytoplasmic accumulation of β-catenin and induction of ductal markers as keratin 19 (Krt19)(Supplementary Fig. S1B and S1C; refs. 18, 21). Nuclear Dbc1 staining did not change (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the Sirt1 staining observed in acini of untreated (Fig. 1A) and control PBS-treated pancreata (Fig. 1B) shifted from the nucleus to the cytoplasm within the first 2 days of Cae-AP (Fig. 1B). Nuclear Sirt1 expression was re-established by day 8 (Fig. 1B). We noted that one third of the mice with Cae-AP showed longer lasting ADM with more cytoplasmic β-catenin and Krt19 complexes and more prominent cytoplasmic Sirt1 (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

Pancreatic duct ligation (PDL) is another model of injury with acinar tissue replaced by Krt19 complexes resulting from permanent ADM and ductal proliferation (ref. 17; Fig. 1C, Supplementary Fig. S2). Dbc1 expression remained unchanged (Supplementary Fig. S2B), but a nuclear-to-cytoplasmic shift of Sirt1 was again found (Fig. 1C). This shift was early and transient with restoration of nuclear expression within 1 week. The percentage of mice in which we observed cytoplasmic Sirt1 matched the success rate of PDL.

In conclusion, in the 2 in vivo models of ADM, the Dbc1 expression pattern was unchanged but an obvious intracellular shift of Sirt1 was noted, confined to the exocrine cells, that is, islet cells retained nuclear Sirt1 and Dbc1 (not shown). This observation suggests that in ADM, Sirt1 can have altered activity and effects on target proteins as a result of changed interaction with Dbc1 and changed intracellular localization.

Functional significance of nuclear-to-cytoplasmic shuttling of Sirt1 in ADM

We reported before on rodent and human exocrine cell cultures (4, 15, 16), the latter specifically providing insights into onset of ADM that cannot be obtained from in vivo (clinical) samples. Cells of non-acinar origin that also can contribute to formation of species identity, and detection of cellular contamination or misidentification using COI for interspecies identification and DNA profiling as well as cytogenetic analysis, flow cytometry, and immunocytochemistry with consistent refinement of cell growth conditions as well as documentation systems, ensuring traceability. Cells were cultured with leptomycin B (LMB; 0.25 μg/mL, Sigma-Aldrich), nicotinamide (20–40 mmol/L, Sigma), resveratrol (50 mmol/L, Sigma), and Tenovin-6 (Cayman Chemical).

In caerulein-induced acute pancreatitis (Cae-AP), acini undergo ADM and regenerate within 1 week (18). The ADM in our in vivo models corresponds to what has been described by Strobel and colleagues (19), that is, tubular complexes and mucusin metaplastic lesions (MML) and occurs isolated in the absence of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN; ref. 20). The transient ADM is characterized by cytoplasmic retention of acinar enzymes, such as carboxypeptidase A1 (Cpa1; Fig. 1B), increased expression and cytoplasmic accumulation of β-catenin and induction of ductal markers as keratin 19 (Krt19)(Supplementary Fig. S1B and S1C; refs. 18, 21). Nuclear Dbc1 staining did not change (Fig. 1B). In contrast, the Sirt1 staining observed in acini of untreated (Fig. 1A) and control PBS-treated pancreata (Fig. 1B) shifted from the nucleus to the cytoplasm within the first 2 days of Cae-AP (Fig. 1B). Nuclear Sirt1 expression was re-established by day 8 (Fig. 1B). We noted that one third of the mice with Cae-AP showed longer lasting ADM with more cytoplasmic β-catenin and Krt19 complexes and more prominent cytoplasmic Sirt1 (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

Pancreatic duct ligation (PDL) is another model of injury with acinar tissue replaced by Krt19 complexes resulting from permanent ADM and ductal proliferation (ref. 17; Fig. 1C, Supplementary Fig. S2). Dbc1 expression remained unchanged (Supplementary Fig. S2B), but a nuclear-to-cytoplasmic shift of Sirt1 was again found (Fig. 1C). This shift was early and transient with restoration of nuclear expression within 1 week. The percentage of mice in which we observed cytoplasmic Sirt1 matched the success rate of PDL.

In conclusion, in the 2 in vivo models of ADM, the Dbc1 expression pattern was unchanged but an obvious intracellular shift of Sirt1 was noted, confined to the exocrine cells, that is, islet cells retained nuclear Sirt1 and Dbc1 (not shown). This observation suggests that in ADM, Sirt1 can have altered activity and effects on target proteins as a result of changed interaction with Dbc1 and changed intracellular localization.
(Supplementary Fig. S3A), induction of Krt19 and changed β-catenin expression (Supplementary Fig. S3A and S3B).

To address the impact of nuclear-to-cytoplasmic shuttling of Sirt1, we treated the acinar cells with LMB, an antibiotic that inhibits nuclear export of proteins with a nuclear export signal, including Sirt1 (22). This resulted in more cells presenting nuclear Sirt1 staining (co-localization with Mist1 was used to label the acinar cell nuclei; Fig. 2C). Western blotting confirmed higher nuclear Sirt1 expression with LMB (Supplementary Fig. S3D). LMB restrained the induction of Krt19, a ductal marker that is strongly induced under control conditions, as seen in immunofluorescence (IF; Fig. 2C). Consistent with this, a lesser induction of Krt19 mRNA was observed in LMB conditions (4.1 ± 0.8-fold vs. 10.9 ± 2.2-fold in controls, P < 0.04; Fig. 2D). No profound effect on the expression of acinar enzymes or transcription factors was found (not shown).

We explored further whether changes in Sirt1 expression impacted on acinar cell differentiation, starting with non-stress conditions. Pancreata from Pdx1-Cre;Sirt1ex4lox/lox mice show a mutant Sirt1 protein band that migrates slightly faster in Western blot analysis (Supplementary Fig. S4A), in line with

Figure 1. Sirt1 and Dbc1 expression in normal exocrine tissue and ADM. A, IF detection of Sirt1 and Dbc1 in normal mouse pancreas; co-localization of Dbc1 with Sirt1 (left), Sirt1 with the acinar cell marker amylase (middle), and Sirt1 with the endocrine hormone insulin (right). B, Sirt1 with Dbc1 or the acinar marker carboxypeptidase A (CpA) in caerulein-induced acute pancreatitis (Cae-AP 0–8 days). C, IF detection of Sirt1 and CpA in pancreatic duct ligation (PDL, 0–15 days). Pictures in a sequence are taken with the same exposure times. Inset, higher magnification and arrow points to the nuclear-to-cytoplasmic shuttling.
previous study (23). This Sirt1 is an inactive mutant form and Sirt1 expression is also strongly suppressed (Supplementary Fig. S4A and S4B). Whereas there is less Pancreatic transcription factor-1a (Ptf1a), no significant changes occur in the expression of the acinar genes Amylase 2 (Amy2), Elastase 1 (Ela1), Carboxypeptidase A1 (Cpa1), Chymotrypsin B1 (Ctrb1), or the recombinant signal binding protein for immunoglobulin kappa J-region-like (Rbpjl) (Fig. 3B, control), suggesting that absence of Sirt1 in unstressed conditions does not affect acinar differentiation. However, upon acute caerulein pancreatitis (Fig. 3A), the role of Sirt1 becomes apparent, with the pancreata from the Pdx1-Cre;Sirt1ex4lox/lox mice showing a more profound ADM (Fig. 3A and B), characterized by a higher suppression of acinar enzymes and of the transcription factors Ptf1a and Rbpjl. Similar results were obtained using the in vitro ADM model (Supplementary Fig. S4C). In the Pdx1-Cre;Sirt1ex4lox/lox mice, the ADM was also more persistent with suppression of acinar markers 8 days post-caerulein. At this time point, the pancreatic tissue from control mice had almost completely recovered (with <10% of affected areas in tissue sections), whereas ADM lesions were still manifest in Pdx1-Cre; Sirt1ex4lox/lox mice (3 of 5 animals with >50% of affected areas in tissue sections). We also noticed a reduction in pancreas volume in the Pdx1-Cre;Sirt1ex4lox/lox (not shown). These
Figure 3. Modulation of Sirt1 expression and activity in ADM. A, hematoxylin and eosin staining of representative tissue sections from caerulein acute pancreatitis (2, 8 days and controls) in Pdx1-Cre; Sirt1ex4lox/lox mice and Pdx1-Cre only mice. B, fold change (FC) in mRNA expression of acinar genes Amy2, Ela1, Chrb1, Cpm1, Ptf1a, and Rbpjl and ductal genes (Krt19 and Krt17) in the same conditions as A comparing Pdx1-Cre;Sirt1ex4lox/lox mice with Pdx1-Cre only mice (n = 5; , P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001). C, Western blotting for Sirt1 using a total protein extract from 266-6 cells stably infected with a vector expressing human Sirt1 (84% homology with mouse) or a control with empty vector (−). Protein input was assessed with vinculin (Vcl; left). Western blotting using fractionated samples of the Sirt1-overexpressing cells to assess Sirt1 and Ptf1a. Vinculin and histone H3 are used as controls for fractionation (right). D, fold change (FC) in mRNA expression of acinar genes in 266-6 cells stably infected with Sirt1 relative to controls (n = 3; , P < 0.05). E, IF detection of Krt19 in acinar cells treated with nicotinamide (NA) or its solvent PBS. F, fold change (FC) in mRNA expression of acinar genes in cultured acini with NA, relative to controls (n = 5–6; , P < 0.05; **, P < 0.005). Dotted lines in B, D, and F refer to control levels that equal 1.
results underscore that, under stress conditions, absence of Sirt1 enhances the ADM.

To evaluate whether increased Sirt1 expression also had effects on acinar gene expression and ADM, we analyzed a Sirt1 transgenic (SirtTg) mouse strain (24) that expressed increased Sirt1 levels from its endogenous promoter ubiquitously, including in acinar cells (Supplementary Fig. S5A), to evaluate whether increased Sirt1 expression had effects on the acinar gene expression. We did not detect significant differences (Supplementary Fig. S5B) either in unstimred or upon pancreatitis/ADM conditions. We note that the Sirt1 overexpression in acinar cells was modest (~2-fold), in line with previous study (24).

To further study Sirt1 overexpression and address the question whether Sirt1 accumulation in the cytoplasm of acinar cells has a contribution to ADM, we analyzed whether acinar 266-6 cells with stable and robust overexpression of Sirt1 mostly confined to the cytoplasm (Fig. 3C) had different features. We found consistent suppression of Cpa1, Ptf1a, and Rbpj (Fig. 3D).

Finally, we wanted to explore whether pharmacologic interference could affect ADM. We used a Sirt1 inhibitor nicotinamide (NA) in the ADM in vitro model. Nicotinamide treatment during the first 24 hrs, when Sirt1 was predominantly cytoplasmic, resulted in a suppression of Kr19 induction, noted by IF and reverse transcription-quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) (Fig. 3E and F). In addition, higher expression of the acinar cell-specific markers Amy2, Ela1, Ctrbl, Cpa1, and Rbpj was maintained (Fig. 3F). Similar ADM repression was observed in preliminary experiments with human acinar cells exposed to nicotinamide (Supplementary Fig. S6A and S6B).

In conclusion, loss of nuclear Sirt1 and cytoplasmic accumulation both contribute to ADM. Inhibition of the shuttling activity of Sirt1 during ADM restrains the process.

Sirt1 deacetylates β-catenin and Ptf1a

Sirt1 can deacetylate β-catenin, a posttranslational modification that impairs its transcriptional activity (25), and modulates Wnt/β-catenin signaling, as in colon cancer (26). Loss of β-catenin signaling is decisive in installing persistent ADM and impairs acinar cell regeneration (21).

In acini, β-catenin is associated with the plasma membrane (Fig. 4A). A cytoplasmic localization of β-catenin has been reported in ADM (21, 27, 28) and correlates well with those conditions in which we showed a predominant cytoplasmic Sirt1. Therefore, we investigated a possible relation of β-catenin and Sirt1 in ADM.

First, we analyzed the cellular localization of β-catenin: in isolated acini, preferential membrane and occasional nuclear staining were seen. A cytoplasmic distribution predominated at 24 hours with a redistribution to the membrane by 5 days of culture (Fig. 4A). This transient cytoplasmic staining was not observed in presence of nicotinamide (Fig. 4B). In Western blotting, 2 bands were detected: The higher band likely results from posttranslational modifications (see below) and persisted upon treatment with nicotinamide, whereas the lower band was induced in controls (Fig. 4C). In Cae-AP in vivo, we found a similar pattern of β-catenin in immunostaining (Supplementary Fig. S1B and S1C) and in Western blot analysis (not shown).

We then used AR42J-B13 cells to further investigate the functional interaction of Sirt1 with β-catenin in an acinar cell context. We chose these cells because Sirt1 was predominantly localized in the cytoplasm (Supplementary Fig. S7A). The 2 reported isoforms of Sirt1 were detected (not shown). Sirt1 co-localized and interacted with β-catenin, as shown by IF, the Duolink assay and co-immunoprecipitation (IP; Supplementary Fig. S7A and S7B). Using co-IP, we detected the Sirt1 isoform that has the highest deacetyl activity (29).

As of β-catenin, 2 bands were detected (Fig. 4E), consistent with findings by others (30). By co-IP, we showed that the upper β-catenin band corresponded to an acetylated form (Fig. 4E). Exposure to resveratrol to stimulate Sirt1 activity (31) resulted in a 3.2-fold increased density of the lower deacetylated β-catenin band ($P < 0.05$, $n = 4$), specifically within the cytoplasm (Supplementary Fig. S7C). Of note, the upper band was maintained when nicotinamide was applied to the primary culture model (Fig. 4C).

We then examined whether our observations are related to Wnt/β-catenin signaling. Axin2, a Wnt/β-catenin target gene, was induced upon acinar cell isolation but was highly reduced at 24 hours in control (PBS) ADM cultures (Fig. 4D). This paralleled the IF data where membrane-associated-β-catenin and accumulation of β-catenin in the nucleus were only observed in isolated acini (Fig. 4A). With nicotinamide, the expression of Axin2 was maintained at higher levels (Fig. 4D). We confirmed this effect of nicotinamide on Wnt/β-catenin signaling by comparing a larger panel of targets and regulators of the pathway (Supplementary Fig. S8A and S8B).

The nuclear co-localization of Sirt1 and Ptf1a in normal acini (Fig. 5A), the changes in subcellular localization of Sirt1, and the changes in digestive enzyme levels in ADM suggested that Sirt1 might contribute to regulate the function of PTF1, the master regulator of the acinar program. PTF1 is a transcription factor complex composed of Ptf1a, Rbpj1, and a class A bHLH protein (32). P300/CBP-associated factor (pCAF) is associated to it, acetylates Ptf1a, and ensures high transcriptional activity of Ptf1a (33). We undertook chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) experiments to verify whether Sirt1 localized together with Ptf1a in the PTF1-binding sites of acinar gene promoters. Cpa1, Ctrbl1, Ela1, Ptf1a, and Rbpj1 promoter areas were indeed 3- to 30-fold enriched in anti-Sirt1 ChIPs (Fig. 5B). To assess whether Sirt1 and Ptf1a interact and impact on the acetylation state, Flag-pCAF, Flag-Ptf1a, Flag-Sirt1, and Flag-Sirt1* [a mutant Sirt1 in which a critical histidine in the deacetylase domain has been replaced by a tyrosine residue (H363Y)] were transfected in HEK293 cells and assayed by co-IP. The results confirmed that Ptf1a became acetylated upon co-transfection of pCAF (as reported in ref. 33), and there is loss of acetylation of Ptf1a when co-transfected with Sirt1, but not when co-transfected with the inactive Sirt1* (Fig. 5C). There were no effects on Rbpjl (not shown).

Together, our findings showed altered acetylation of the Sirt1 target β-catenin in the context of ADM and thereby interference with β-catenin/Wnt signaling. Furthermore, Sirt1
could deacetylate Ptf1a, the critical component of PTF1, and Sirt1 associated with PTF1-dependent gene promoters. These observations underpinned that Sirt1 can regulate acinar cell differentiation and have a role in ADM.

Role of Sirt1 in advanced pancreatic tumors

Subsequent to our investigations in metaplasia, we studied Sirt1 in human PDAC. Using Illumina expression microarray data from (34), we found that Sirt1 in PDAC tissue does not differ from normal pancreas ($P = 0.23$). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) resulted in variable detection levels and patterns in normal and cancer tissue, again without apparent differences (not shown).

We studied the effect of genetic inhibition of Sirt1 expression in Panc1 and Panc10.05 cells with siRNAs. Knockdown of Sirt1 protein was confirmed up to 120 hours after transfection (Fig. 6A), and increased acetylation of p53 was detected, in accordance with p53 being the best described target of Sirt1 (23). No difference was noted in β-catenin or Axin2 expression (Fig. 6A, not shown). Up to 50% inhibition of cell viability was showed (Fig. 6B). In addition, we treated 4 PDAC cell lines with the Sirt1/2 inhibitor Tenovin-6. This reduced the percentage of viable HPAC, MiaPaca2, and Panc10.05 cells in a concentration-dependent manner. However, Panc1 cells were much less sensitive (Fig. 6C).

The observed differences in sensitivity to Tenovin-6 led us to hypothesize that the relative expression levels of Sirt1/Dbc1 determine the sensitivity. Sirt1/Dbc1 protein expression, as measured in Western blotting, did indeed correlate with the IC$_{50}$ for Tenovin-6 ($R = 0.92$, $P < 0.05$; Fig. 6D). In fact, Dbc1 expression is different among PDAC samples (Fig. 6E and F). Compared with high Dbc1 intensity in normal tissue,
fraction of samples with low or medium intensity was significantly higher in PDAC and about 10% were negative (Supplementary Fig. S9A). The percentage of positive nuclei ranged between 3% and 100% in PDAC compared with 50% to 100% in controls (Supplementary Fig. S9B). Dbc1 staining in chronic pancreatitis did not differ from controls (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. S9A). The percentage of positive nuclei ranged significantly higher in PDAC and about 10% were negative (Supplementary Fig. S9B). Dbc1 staining in chronic pancreatitis did not differ from controls (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. S9A). The percentage of positive nuclei ranged significantly higher in PDAC and about 10% were negative (Supplementary Fig. S9B). Dbc1 staining in chronic pancreatitis did not differ from controls (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. S9A). The percentage of positive nuclei ranged significantly higher in PDAC and about 10% were negative (Supplementary Fig. S9B). Dbc1 staining in chronic pancreatitis did not differ from controls (Fig. 6A; Supplementary Fig. S9A). The percentage of positive nuclei ranged significantly higher in PDAC and about 10% were negative (Supplementary Fig. S9B).

In conclusion, 3 of 4 PDAC cell lines that we tested are sensitive to Tenovin-6 and this highly correlated with their Sirt1/Dbc1 levels.

Discussion

Our analyses show that Sirt1 is co-localized with Dbc1 in the nucleus of normal exocrine acinar cells. We find that this co-localization is disturbed in ADM, that is, Sirt1 shifts out of the nucleus into the cytoplasm. This was a general observation in 4 different experimental models of ADM, including mouse and human, regardless of the different types and origins of ADM (19). Dissociation of Sirt1 from its inhibitor activates Sirt1 (13, 35). Furthermore, altered intracellular localization likely changes the interactions with target proteins. Other studies have shown that intracellular Sirt1 localization changes in response to physiologic and pathologic stimuli resulting in altered cell differentiation and different roles during multistage carcinogenesis (22, 36, 37). The functional impact of these changes in Sirt1 in pancreas was explored in this study.

We manipulated the intracellular shuttling and the activity of Sirt1 in acini that undergo ADM. In the presence of LMB, when more nuclear Sirt1 is retained, we observed a lesser induction of Krt19, a prominent hallmark of ADM (2, 15). This indicated inhibition of ADM to a certain extent. We did not prevent the drop in acinar gene expression except when directly inhibiting the activity of Sirt1 with nicotinamide at the time of its cytoplasmic localization. This resulted in the partial preservation of typical acinar gene expression, an extension of our previous observations (4). Preliminary experiments with human cultured acinar cells showed similar findings reinforcing that our observations are relevant in human. It needs to be appreciated that the models used here (mouse models and human exocrine cultures) provide insights into initiating mechanisms that cannot be gathered from clinical samples usually collected at advanced disease, that is, chronic pancreatitis or pancreatic cancer. Nicotinamide is used in clinical trials and more potent and Sirt1-specific drugs that mimic Dbc1 are under development. These may interfere with ADM in pancreatitis and ultimately prevent development of PDAC.

Absence of functional Sirt1 in unstressed pancreas did not seem to impinge on acinar differentiation, likely because of the inhibited state of Sirt1 in normal pancreas or compensatory mechanisms. Under conditions of pancreatitis, however, it becomes clear that lack of nuclear Sirt1 aggravates the ADM. On the other hand, the increased cytoplasmic Sirt1 can also contribute to ADM. We indeed found repression of acinar genes in Sirt1-overexpressing 266-6 cells where expression was mainly in the cytoplasm. The effect was modest perhaps because our experiment was conducted in this cell line that has a less differentiated phenotype than mature acinar cells.

Next, we investigated the mechanisms through which Sirt1 could affect acinar cell differentiation in ADM. β-Catenin has an important role in embryonic acinar cell differentiation and proliferation (38, 39). Its abnormal expression in the cytoplasm in early stages of ADM (and present results; refs. 18, 21, 27) coincident with altered Sirt1 localization suggested a functional link between both proteins. We hypothesized that β-catenin could be a target for deacetylation by Sirt1 in ADM as in colon cancer (26). Our experiments show that in an acinar cell context both proteins can co-localize, interact, and that there is a relation between the acetylation of β-catenin and modulation of Sirt1 activity (nicotinamide and resveratrol). In addition, we discovered that in pancreatic acini Sirt1 is a novel regulator of Wnt/β-catenin signaling that is induced upon acinar cell isolation but rapidly lost in culture. Consequently, the acinar cells dedifferentiate permanently, in agreement with previous observations (21). We find that application of a sirtuin
inhibitor resulted in maintenance of Wnt/β-catenin signaling and more membrane-bound β-catenin. However, effects of β-catenin separate from Wnt signaling might also be involved (40). Ras and Notch signaling (21, 41), pathways that are activated in ADM and relevant to carcinogenesis (18, 21, 41, 42), can also regulate β-catenin. As such, it is worth investigating if/how Ras and Notch signaling impinge on Sirt1 activity and vice versa.

The effects on acinar cell differentiation also pointed to a putative effect on the PTF1 complex, its major regulator. Ptf1a is a critical component of PTF1 (43) whose acetylation by pCAF is essential for high digestive enzyme gene expression (33). The present study adds that Sirt1 and Ptf1a proteins co-localize in acinar cell nuclei at the PTF1-binding sites of acinar gene promoters and that Sirt1 can deacetylate Ptf1a. We propose that in the normal pancreas Dbc1 balances Sirt1 activity and acinar cells remain differentiated. Dbc1 does indeed inhibit the activity of Sirt1 in the pancreas (35). Ptf1a can undergo cytoplasmic translocation in ADM (44, 45), similar to what we observe for Sirt1, making a deacetylation event of Ptf1a more likely. Of note, Ptf1a might also be affected by the action of Sirt1 on pCAF that on its turn can be deacetylated by Sirt1 (46).

Finally, we analyzed Sirt1 in PDACs. We extended the observations of Zhao and colleagues (11) and showed that Tenovin-6 or RNA interference for Sirt1 resulted in loss of PDAC cell viability. The IC50 values for Tenovin-6 were in line with what has been reported in other tumor cells (47, 48). In contrast to the study by Zhao and colleagues, we did not detect differences in Sirt1 expression in PDAC
compared with normal tissue. However, we found a decrease of Dbc1 in PDAC tissues, including a subset with undetectable expression. Loss of Dbc1 has been reported in breast, colon, and lung cancer (12). We propose that variation in Dbc1 is relevant in PDAC as Dbc1 directly determines Sirt1 activity (12, 13, 49). The recent finding that c-myc activates Sirt1, and as such favors tumor growth, by sequestering Dbc1 from Sirt1 supports this hypothesis (50). We applied an assay for quantifying Sirt1 activity (13) but failed to get Sirt1 specific results for our pancreatic tissue and cell extracts (not shown). In addition, we found that PDAC cell lines respond variably to Tenovin-6 and that this correlates with the levels of Sirt1/Dbc1. Our data therefore suggest that Dbc1 can be a biomarker for those pancreatic tumors that benefit from Sirt1-inhibitory drugs.

We did not investigate Sirt1 target genes in PDAC cells, but preliminary data point out that there is no effect on β-catenin (Fig. 6A). A role for p53 (Fig. 6A), the best-characterized target of Sirt1, was beyond the scope of our study.

In conclusion, this is the first study that examines the role of Sirt1 on differentiation and tumor maintenance in the exocrine pancreas, contributing to our understanding of those mechanisms that could be harnessed in therapeutic control.
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